# THE LAW OF OSTRACOD GROWTH 

by F. W. ANDERSON


#### Abstract

A method of calculating the dimensions of ostracod growth stages is described and applied to the analysis of already published data referring to 26 species ranging in age from Ordovician to Recent.


The first attempt to formulate a law of growth for the Arthropoda was made by Brooks (1886). Working on Coronis larvae he found that the successive instar sizes could be approximately calculated by multiplying the length of the previous instar by 1.25 . Fowler (1909) applied this rule to living ostracods from the Bay of Biscay and claimed that each stage increased by a fixed percentage of its length approximately constant for its species and sex. He named this rule 'Brooks's Law' and found that for species of Halocypridae the growth factors ranged from 1.26 to 1-78. In 1931 Przibram assumed a growth factor of 1.26 for all arthropods. This was based on the assumption that the mass is doubled at each moult, 1.26 being the cube root of 2.0 . It is extremely unlikely that this is true and in fact the principle has been largely abandoned for arthropods in general. Animals which add to the number of appendages at each moult are not likely to obey this law even approximately, and certainly the method does not give satisfactory results for the ostracods.

Brooks's Law stated as a formula reads: $L_{n+1}=k L_{n}$, where $L_{n}$ is the length of carapace at $n$th instar, and $k$ is the growth factor. As has been demonstrated for T. fittoni (see preceding paper by Sohn and Anderson) the growth factor is not necessarily constant even for one species and, as will be shown subsequently, for ostracods generally there is a wide range of growth rates. Many ostracods, however, in the adult stage have lengths near to 1.0 mm . and should therefore, according to the method of calculation suggested below, have an incremental factor of $0 \cdot 100$, which is the logarithm of 1.259 , i.e. approximately $1 \cdot 26$, so that the fact that Przibram's growth rate is not applicable generally is not always readily apparent.

In the search for a more satisfactory method of calculating instar sizes the dimensions of the individuals of T. fittoni were recorded as logarithms to the base 10. It was then apparent that the logarithms of the mean dimension of each instar were approximately equidistant. Thus the law which is immediately suggested is that which might have been deduced on purely theoretical grounds, i.e. some form of the compound interest formula $y=y_{o} e^{k x}$, since growth is incremental and logarithmic. In this formula $x$ is the time at which the size $y$ is obtained, $y_{o}$ is a constant depending on the size at time $o$, and $k$ is a constant (the efficiency index). On taking logarithms, $\log y=\log y_{o}+k x$, which simplifies subsequent operations. This is, of course, an expression of the general form $y=a+b x$, the equation for a straight line. The distribution of the measurements for length and height of T. fittoni suggests that the growth formula for this species at least is an equation of this kind. In the case of fossil ostracods, however, $x$ cannot be expressed in units of time which are not determinable, but since the logarithms of the means of each instar size are equidistant, $x$ can be legitimately replaced not by the instar number,
which again is not necessarily determinable, but by a growth-stage number. If it can be assumed that the first growth stage in all ostracods in which the adult is of the same order of size, has the same dimensions, and for all practical purposes this may well be true, then the incremental factors of growth in length $\left(K_{L}\right)$ and in height $\left(K_{H}\right)$ can be determined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{L} & =\log L_{n}-\log L_{n-1} \\
\text { and } K_{H} & =\log H_{n}-\log H_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is the number of any growth stage. If $K_{L}$ is taken as the length of the first growth stage then growth stage 10 will have the length $10 K_{L}$. In T. fittoni and a number of other species growth stage 10 is the mature adult, i.e. the instar numbered 8 or 9 according to the author concerned.

In the calculation of height another factor, the length/height ratio, must be taken into account. Let $r$ equal the fundamental $L / H$ ratio, that of growth-stage 0 , which will be constant for the species if the shape is to remain the same. During growth, however, there is a change of shape with each instar, usually in the form of an increase in the $L / H$ ratio so that the shell becomes proportionately more elongate as growth proceeds. Thus another factor, $p$, again a constant for the species, must be introduced, which alters the value of $r$ with each moult and must operate uniformly if the change in shape is to be gradual and incremental. This factor is simply the difference between the two growth rates $K_{L}$ and $K_{H}$. The relationship between length and height in any growth stage is therefore expressed by:

$$
\log L_{n}-r-p n=\log H_{n}
$$

Thus for the Berwick (1) individuals of $T$. fittoni the difference between $\log L_{n}$ and $\log L_{n-1}$ is $0.096\left(K_{L}\right)$, and between $H_{n}$ and $H_{n-1}$ is 0.083 , so that $p=K_{L}-K_{H}=0.072$ and $r=\log L_{o}-\log H_{o}=0.013$.

The $L / H$ ratio in the female appears to be constant only in the pre-maturation moults, presumably because much of the increase in size at the maturation moult is in the width of the posterior half of the carapace. Thus in any assemblage which includes a large number of females the average length and height will be smaller than calculated. This is very evident in some of the data reproduced below.

In T. fittoni growth stage 10 is the mature adult and eight prematuration instars have been recognized. It does not follow that there are ten instars in the development of this species, and in the following discussion the growth stages which are arbitrarily taken to equal $n K_{L}$ are not necessarily numerically equated with the instars.

The determination of ostracod instars has been thoroughly discussed by Kesling (1951, 1952, 1953), who has devised a circular slide rule (1953, fig. 2) to assist in the recognition of growth stages. The method, however, is based on Przibram's concept and is somewhat complex. In fact, the various methods of calculation so far suggested do not give results which differ greatly in the median range of ostracod sizes. The method adopted here, however, is simpler to operate than that advocated by Kesling and will give more satisfactory results for ostracods much smaller or larger than the average.

1. Pterygocythereis jonesi (Baird). Recent, marine, from bottom samples in the Irish Sea south of the Isle of Man. Measurements carried out by the writer. $K_{L}=0.0882$, $K_{H}=0.0680, r=0.040, p=0.0202$.

The method of calculating instar sizes suggested here can best be illustrated by taking
as an example measurements taken on the carapaces of a living species of ostracod, Pterygocythereis jonesi. In this species the adult is easily recognized by its development of a large clear hemispherical eye tubercle which is not present in earlier moults. The valves of 175 individuals were measured, right and left valves being recorded separately, but as the difference in length between the two was only 0.025 mm . in the adult it became apparent that this would have no significant effect on the scatter of the measurements.

Most valves ( 73 ) ranged from 0.713 to 0.813 mm . in length (mean 0.763 ) and from 0.400 to 0.488 mm . in height (mean 0.438 ). The next smallest instar ( 39 individuals) had lengths ranging from 0.588 to 0.675 mm . (mean 0.621 ) and heights ranging from 0.325 to 0.400 mm . (mean 0.363 ). The constant for growth in length $\left(K_{L}\right)$ is therefore assumed to be close to $0.883(\log$ of 0.763$)$ minus $0.793(\log$ of 0.621$)$, that is about 0.090 . Thus the instar with a length of 0.763 mm . would, according to the method described, be growth stage 10 and a closer approximation to $K_{L}$ would be $0 \cdot 088$. In fact a value of 0.0882 for $K_{L}$ gives the best fit between calculated and measured means.

The average difference between the logarithms of the heights in adjacent instars is 0.068 . Thus the value for the constant $p$ is 0.0202 . The hypothetical growth stage 0 would therefore have the dimensions: length $0 \cdot 100$, height 0.091 mm ., and the $L / H$ ratio at growth stage $0(r)=0.040$.

In Table 1 calculated means have been determined for each growth stage and compared with the means of those measured. It will be seen that the maximum difference between calculated and measured lengths is 0.006 mm ., which is well within the standard deviation ( $\sigma=0.016$ ) of the measured lengths. Similarly the maximum difference between calculated and measured heights is $0.011(\sigma=0.016)$.

TABLE 1

| Growth stage | Measured illeaus (nımı.) |  | Calculated means (min.) |  | Number measured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leugth | Height | Length | Height |  |
| 7 | 0.438 | $0 \cdot 275$ | 0.414 | 0.273 | 1 |
| 8 | 0.513 | 0.313 | $0 \cdot 508$ | 0.319 | 8 |
| 9 | 0.621 | $0 \cdot 363$ | 0.622 | 0.373 | 39 |
| 10 | 0.763 | $0 \cdot 438$ | 0.762 | 0.437 | 73 |
| *11 | 0.938 | 0.500 | 0.933 | $0 \cdot 511$ | 54 |

* Adult with eye tubercle

Much labour can be avoided by using the chart set out in text-fig. 1. All that is necessary is to mark off the logarithms of the means of the measured lengths of a species on the scale at the top of the chart and move down the vertical scale until the best fit for all growth stages is obtained, at which point the reading on the left hand scale will give the incremental factor $K_{L}$. It can be seen at a glance if any growth stages have been missed, or if two values have been obtained for the same instar. The method can be used to find both $K_{L}$ and $K_{I I}$, from which the other factors $r$ and $p$ can be calculated. Logarithms of means should fall on or near the broken lines.

Measurements of ostracod instars so far published indicate values for $K_{L}$ ranging from a minimum of $0.070(1.175)$ to a maximum of $0.128(1.343)$. There appears to be no

obvious relationship between the number of possible growth stages and the dimensions of the ultimate instar (Table 28).

This method is here utilized to calculate dimensions for instars of those species for which measurements are available. Where differences between calculated and measured dimensions are greater than the standard deviation in any one growth stage further investigation is needed. It is suggested that errors are most frequently due to:
(a) the number of individuals measured in any one growth stage being too small;
(b) failure to collect representatives of some of the growth stages;
(c) failure to recognize the growth stage to which individuals belong;
(d) failure to recognize as the same species juveniles and adults which change markedly at the maturation moult, or to recognize sexual heteromorphs as the same species.

Most recent workers have recognized the importance of measuring a large number of individuals and it is usually only in older publications that the effect of measuring too few individuals becomes serious. More common is the omission of a growth stage, usually an early instar. Individuals belonging to the earlier growth stages are not easily found or abundant and are easily damaged, so that reliable means are difficult to obtain. Consequently individuals at extreme and opposite limits of the range for the instar may be thought to belong to different instars. Examples of this are illustrated below. It is suspected, but difficult to prove, that in some cases the juveniles have not been recognized as the same species as the adult. For example, if the eye tubercle in Pterygocythereis jonesi were taken to be a diagnostic feature of the species, then the pre-maturation moults would be excluded. Similarly in Macrodentina retirugata (Jones) the hingement changes sufficiently during development to place adult and early moults in distinct genera if a too rigid system of classification is adopted.
2. Normanocythere leioderma (Norman). Recent. From various localities, but mainly Spitzbergen. Data by Neale 1959. $K_{L}=0.092, K_{H}=0.072, r=0.048, p=0.020$.

TABLE 2

|  | Measured means <br> (mm.) |  | Calculated means <br> (mmon.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth <br> stage | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 7 | 0.435 | 0.280 | 0.441 | 0.286 |
| 8 | 0.540 | 0.330 | 0.545 | 0.337 |
| 9 | 0.675 | 0.400 | 0.673 | 0.398 |
| 10 | 0.840 | 0.470 | 0.832 | 0.470 |
| 11 | 1.030 | 0.550 | 1.028 | 0.555 |

Neale estimated the incremental factor to be 1.2445 (i.e. $K_{L}=0.095$ ) and compared it with the generalized value of 1.256 given by Kesling (1953) (i.e. $K_{L}=0.099$ ). He apparently assumed that the growth increment is the same for both length and height, but it is obvious that in this species this is not the case. Mean values are not given by Neale and the figures quoted above have been taken from the published graph (text-fig. 4). The maximum difference between measured and calculated means is 0.008 mm . Growth stage 11 is the mature adult. Neale assumes nine instars, thus his first instar
would be growth stage 3 , for which the calculated dimensions are: length $0 \cdot 189$, height $0 \cdot 147$, compared with Neale's estimate of length $0 \cdot 18 \mathrm{~mm}$. It is not stated why earlier growth stages are not possible. Growth stage 2 is estimated to be length $0 \cdot 153$, height $0 \cdot 125$, a much closer approximation to the figures for Cythere lutea (length 0.156 mm .) and Cyprideis littoralis (length 0.150 mm .) given by Elofson (1941).
3. Cypridopsis vidua (O. F. Müller). Recent, marine, parthenogenetic. Material: culture from a single ostracod from Crystal Lake, Champaign County, Illinois. Data from Kesling 1952, p. 235, Table 2. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 0895, K_{H}=0 \cdot 080, r=0 \cdot 160, p=0 \cdot 0095$.

TABLE 3

| Growth stage | Measured mreans ( mm. ) |  | Calcrlated mears ( $\quad$ пнн.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lergetly | Height | Length | Height |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 1322$ | $0 \cdot 0920$ | $0 \cdot 1229$ | $0 \cdot 0830$ |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 1555$ | $0 \cdot 1060$ | $0 \cdot 1510$ | $0 \cdot 1000$ |
| 3 | 0.1882 | 0.1238 | $0 \cdot 1856$ | $0 \cdot 1200$ |
| 4 | $0 \cdot 2268$ | 0.1456 | $0 \cdot 2281$ | $0 \cdot 1450$ |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 2698$ | $0 \cdot 1697$ | $0 \cdot 2802$ | $0 \cdot 1740$ |
| 6 | 0.3334 | $0 \cdot 2038$ | $0 \cdot 3444$ | $0 \cdot 2090$ |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 4180$ | $0 \cdot 2505$ | 0.4232 | $0 \cdot 2510$ |
| 8 | $0 \cdot 5280$ | $0 \cdot 3162$ | $0 \cdot 5200$ | $0 \cdot 3020$ |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 6170$ | $0 \cdot 3726$ | 0.6390 | $0 \cdot 3630$ |

The correspondence between measured and calculated means is reasonably good, with a maximum difference of 0.022 mm ., and this in the adult, which since the individuals were all females could have been anticipated. The effect of captivity on growth rate has not yet been demonstrated so that the figures given above may not be applicable to the species in its natural environment. Nine growth stages were recognized, of which the last is the adult moult.
4. Hirshmannia nigrescens (Baird). Recent, marine. Data from Elofson 1941, p. 400, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.095$.
table 4

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (mm.) | Length <br> Calcnlated means <br> (mm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.103 | Lengtl |
| 1 | 0.114 |  |
| 2 | $0.130\} 0.122$ | 0.100 |
| 3 | 0.158 | 0.125 |
| 4 | 0.200 | 0.155 |
| 5 | 0.236 | 0.193 |
| 6 | 0.287 | 0.240 |

Analysis suggests that the two mean lengths 0.114 mm . and 0.130 mm . refer to one instar; the mean $0 \cdot 122$ is close to the calculated length for growth stage 1. Apart from this the correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is close, with a maximum difference of 0.012 mm . The relatively large growth increment suggests the possibility of later instars as yet unidentified.
5. Hirschmannia viridis (O. F. Müller). Recent, marine. Data from Elofson 1941, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.078$.

TABLE 5

|  | Measured means <br> (mm.) | Calculated means <br> (inm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 130$ | $0 \cdot 120$ |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 144$ | 0.143 |
| 3 | $0 \cdot 170$ | 0.171 |
| 4 | 0.195 | 0.205 |
| 5 | 0.238 | 0.246 |
| 6 | 0.295 | 0.294 |
| 7 | 0.350 | 0.352 |
| 8 | 0.434 | 0.421 |

Eight growth stages are recognized, the lengths of which correspond closely to those calculated, the maximum difference being 0.013 mm .
6. Heterocypris incongruens (Ramdohr). Recent, marine. Data from Schrieber 1922, lengths only. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 100$.

TABLE 6

|  | Measured means <br> (nmm.) | Calculated means <br> (mmon.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 3 | 0.190 | 0.200 |
| 4 | 0.250 | 0.251 |
| 5 | 0.288 | 0.316 |
| 6 | 0.368 | 0.398 |
| 7 | 0.496 | 0.501 |
| 8 | 0.608 | 0.631 |
| 9 | 0.800 | 0.794 |
| 10 | 1.008 | 1.000 |
| 11 | - | 1.260 |
| 12 | 1.600 | 1.590 |

The maximum difference between measured and calculated lengths is 0.030 mm . in growth stage 6. It is apparent that the pre-maturation moult, i.e. growth stage 11, has not been recorded.
7. Macrocypris succinae (G. W. Müller). Recent, marine. Data from G. W. Müller 1893, lengths only. $\mathrm{K}_{L}=0.090$.

TABLE 7

| Growth stage | Measured means ( mln .) | Calculated means (imn.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Length |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 290$ | $0 \cdot 282$ |
| 6 | 0.370 | 0.347 |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 440$ | $0 \cdot 427$ |
| 8 | $0 \cdot 530$ | 0.525 |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 630$ | 0.646 |
| 10 | $0 \cdot 800$ | $0 \cdot 794$ |
| 11 | 0.920 | 0.977 |

The correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is reasonably good, except in the last instar, where the difference is 0.057 mm . It is not known, however, how many individuals were measured and therefore how close the figure of 0.977 mm . is to the mean length of this instar.

8a. Loxoconcha impressa (Baird). Recent, marine. Data from G. W. Müller 1893, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.075$.

TABLE 8

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (num.) | Calculated means <br> (mmin.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.100 | Length |
| 2 | 0.140 | 0.119 |
| 3 | - | 0.141 |
| 4 | 0.200 | 0.168 |
| 5 | 0.240 | 0.200 |
| 6 | 0.280 | 0.237 |
| 7 | - | 0.282 |
| 8 | 0.370 |  |
| 0.430 |  |  | $0.400 \quad 10.335$

As the figures given below ( $8 b$, after Elofson) show, the growth in this species is quite normal, so that the irregularities in Müller's record must be due to the fact that some growth stages have been missed, namely stages 3 and 7. Furthermore, it is evident that the lengths 0.370 and 0.430 mm . must refer to the same instar. If this interpretation is correct then the maximum difference between measured and calculated lengths is 0.019 mm .

8b. Loxoconcha impressa (Baird). Recent, marine. Data from Elofson 1941, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.0825$.

TABLE 9

|  | Measured means <br> (tum.) | Calculated meaus <br> (nlum.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 1 | 0.136 | 0.121 |
| 2 | 0.144 | 0.146 |
| 3 | 0.180 | 0.177 |
| 4 | 0.216 | 0.214 |
| 5 | 0.260 | 0.259 |
| 6 | 0.316 | 0.313 |
| 7 | 0.375 | 0.378 |
| 8 | 0.463 | 0.457 |

Except for the first growth stage the correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is very close. These figures show that, as in Theriosynoecum fittoni, the growth rate in L. impressa is variable so that, for example, growth stage 7 in the individuals measured by Elofson is intermediate in size between growth stages 7 and 8 in the individuals measured by Müller.
9. Bairdia serrata Müller. Recent, marine. Data from G. W. Müller 1893, lengths only. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 102$.
table 10

|  | Measured means <br> (mum.) | Calculated means <br> (mmon.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stages | Leugtlı | Lengtl |
| 3 | 0.200 | 0.202 |
| 4 | 0.260 | 0.256 |
| 5 | 0.320 | 0.324 |
| 6 | 0.390 | 0.409 |
| 7 | 0.520 | 0.518 |
| 8 | 0.660 | 0.655 |

Correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is good except for growth stage 6 , where the difference is 0.019 mm . This appears to be a rather high growth rate for a species with an adult only 0.660 mm . long. It is possible that later moults have been recorded as a distinct species.
10. Cythere lutea (O. F. Müller). Recent, marine. Data from Elofson 1941, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.096$.

TABLE 11

|  | Measured means <br> (mom.) | Calculated means <br> ( mrow.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Lengt/7 | Length |
| 2 | 0.156 | 0.156 |
| 3 | 0.188 | 0.194 |
| 4 | 0.224 |  |
| 5 | $0.255)$ | 0.240 |
| 6 | 0.296 | 0.242 |
| 7 | 0.375 | 0.302 |
| 8 | 0.470 | 0.377 |

Correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is good if, as is suspected, the lengths 0.224 and 0.255 mm . belong to the same instar. As in B. serrata the growth increment is large for a species with a maximum recorded length of less than 0.600 mm .
11. Cyclocypris ovum (Jurine). Recent, fresh-water. Data from Claus 1872, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.070$.

TABLE 12

|  | Measured means <br> ( Grown.) | Calculated means <br> (mmm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 2 | 0.132 | 0.138 |
| 3 | 0.165 | 0.162 |
| 4 | 0.190 | 0.191 |
| 5 | 0.230 | 0.224 |
| 6 | 0.270 | 0.263 |
| 7 | - | 0.309 |
| 8 | 0.350 | 0.363 |
| 9 | 0.450 | 0.427 |
| 10 | 0.540 | 0.501 |
| 11 | 0.600 | 0.589 |

No length for growth stage 7 was recorded. The difference between measured and calculated lengths in growth stages 9 and 10, i.e. 0.023 and 0.039 mm . respectively, is rather large, otherwise the correspondence is reasonably good.
12. Dolerocypris fasciata (O. F. Müller). Recent, fresh-water. Data from Claus 1872, lengths only. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 130$.

TABLE 13

|  | Measured means <br> (mmin.) | Calculated means <br> ( Grow.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 3 | 0.270 | 0.246 |
| 4 | 0.340 | 0.331 |
| 5 | 0.440 | 0.447 |
| 6 | 0.600 | 0.603 |
| 7 | 0.800 | 0.813 |
| 8 | 1.050 | 1.096 |
| 9 | 1.450 | 1.480 |
| 10 | 2.000 | 2.000 |

The difference between measured and calculated lengths is rather high in growth stage $8(0.046 \mathrm{~mm}$.$) , otherwise the correspondence is reasonably good.$
13. Cythereis simensis (Le Roy) =Archicythereis newportensis Le Roy. 'Middle Pliocene', San Diego formation, California. Data from Spjeldnaes 1951, after Le Roy 1945. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 106, K_{H}=0 \cdot 100, p=0 \cdot 006, r=0 \cdot 194$.

TABLE 14

| Growth stage | Measured means ( mm .) |  | Calculated means (min.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 5 ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}$ ) | $0 \cdot 350$ | $0 \cdot 190$ | 0.339 | $0 \cdot 218$ |
| 6 ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) | 0.450 | $0 \cdot 270$ | 0.433 | $0 \cdot 255$ |
| 7 (A) | $0 \cdot 540$ | $0 \cdot 310$ | 0.552 | 0.321 |
| 8 (B) | $0 \cdot 680$ | $0 \cdot 390$ | 0.705 | 0.404 |
| 9 (C) | 0.900 | $0 \cdot 510$ | 0.900 | 0.508 |
| 10 (E) | $1 \cdot 180$ | $0 \cdot 640$ | $1 \cdot 150$ | $0 \cdot 640$ |

Le Roy believed that an instar (D) occurred between C and E but this is clearly not the case. The length of growth stage $A^{\prime \prime}$ was misquoted by Spjeldnaes as 0.380 mm .
14. Cythereis holmani Le Roy. Miocene, marine, east coastal area of Sumatra. Data from Spjeldnaes 1951, after Le Roy 1945. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 119, K_{H}=0 \cdot 105, r=0 \cdot 140, p=$ $0 \cdot 014$.

TABLE 15

| Growth stage | Measured means ( mm. ) |  | Calculated means ( mm .) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| $6\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { A } \\ \mathrm{B}\end{array}\right.$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 0.300 \\ 0.310 \end{array}\right\} 0 \cdot 305$ | $0 \cdot 518$ | $0 \cdot 309$ |
| 7 C | 0.670 | $0 \cdot 400$ | 0.681 | $0 \cdot 394$ |
| $8\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { D }{ }_{\text {¢ }} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\text {ô }}\end{array}\right.$ | $\left.{ }_{0.850}^{0.940}\right\}$ ( 0.895 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}0.510 \\ 0.470\end{array}\right\} 0.490$ | 0.895 | $0 \cdot 501$ |

These figures are difficult to interpret. Presumably the dimensions given for growth stages A and B appertain to one instar. Only the average dimensions of the adult male and female are closely related to those calculated for growth stage 8.
15. Candona whitei Scott and Smith. Eocene, fresh-water. Data from Scott and Smith 1951. $K_{L}=0.080, K_{I I}=0.074, r=0 \cdot 180, p=0.006$.

TABLE 16

| Growth stage | Measured means ( mm. ) |  | Calculated means (mm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 120$ | 0.092 | $0 \cdot 120$ | 0.078 |
| 2 | - | - | $0 \cdot 145$ | 0.093 |
| 3 | - | - | $0 \cdot 174$ | $0 \cdot 110$ |
| 4 | $0 \cdot 204$ | $0 \cdot 146$ | $0 \cdot 209$ | $0 \cdot 131$ |
| 5 | 0.258 | $0 \cdot 159$ | $0 \cdot 251$ | $0 \cdot 155$ |
| 6 | $0 \cdot 304$ | 0.187 | $0 \cdot 302$ | $0 \cdot 184$ |
| 7 | 0.367 | 0.218 | $0 \cdot 363$ | $0 \cdot 218$ |
| 8 | 0.434 | $0 \cdot 258$ | 0.437 | $0 \cdot 258$ |
| 9 | 0.532 | $0 \cdot 306$ | 0.525 | $0 \cdot 306$ |
| 10 | - | - | 0.631 | $0 \cdot 363$ |
| 11 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 0.718 \\ 0.824 \end{array}\right\} 0.771$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 0.407 \\ 0.468 \end{array}\right\} 0.438$ | 0.759 | 0.431 |
| 12 | 0.974 | 0.554 | 0.912 | 0.511 |

The correspondence between measured and calculated dimensions is so close in the earlier growth stages that the figures given for the last three instars need to be critically examined. A possible interpretation is that growth stage 10 has not been recorded, and that for the next growth stage both high and low variants have been given. The graph in Scott and Smith's paper, however, shows no obvious grouping of the length/height ratios. The dimensions given under growth stage 1 are those of the supposed egg.
16. Cytherella bullata Alexander. Cretaceous, marine. Prairie Bluff, Pontotoc County, Mississippi. Data from Shaver 1953. $K_{L}=0.078, K_{I I}=0.076, r=0 \cdot 144, p=0.002$.

TABLE 17

|  | Measured means <br> (mum.) |  | Calculated means <br> (mmowth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 4 | 0.216 | 0.139 | 0.205 | 0.145 |
| 5 | 0.250 | 0.163 | 0.246 | 0.172 |
| 6 | 0.294 | 0.192 | 0.294 | 0.205 |
| 7 | 0.364 | 0.243 | 0.352 | 0.244 |
| 8 | 0.439 | 0.291 | 0.421 | 0.291 |
| 9 | 0.508 | 0.337 | 0.504 | 0.347 |
| 10 | 0.597 | 0.400 | 0.603 | 0.413 |
| 11 | 0.707 | 0.473 | 0.721 | 0.492 |
| 12 | 0.867 | 0.588 | 0.863 | 0.586 |

Measured and calculated values correspond very well throughout, the maximum difference in length being 0.018 mm . in growth stage 8 , and in height 0.019 mm . in growth stage 11.
17. Aurikirkbya wardensis (Hamilton). Permian, Glass Mountains, Texas. Data from Sohn 1950a. $K_{L \text { hinge }}=0.083, K_{I I}=0.079$.

TABLE 18

|  | Measured means <br> (mmm.) |  | Calculated means <br> (mmi.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gtage | Hinge length | Height |  | Hinge length |
| n | 0.828 | 0.414 | 0.826 | 0.430 |
| n 1 | 0.999 | 0.519 | 1.000 | 0.515 |
| n 2 | 1.219 | 0.631 | 1.211 | 0.618 |
| n 3 | 1.426 | 0.730 | 1.466 | 0.741 |
| n 4 | 1.768 | 0.882 | 1.774 | 0.889 |

The data relating to this species have proved most difficult to analyse. Sohn's figures suggest a periodicity which could be mistaken for instar intervals. The author says (p. 36) that it is not possible by examining these figures to recognize growth stages by measurement alone. The obvious periodicity is, however, due to some extraneous factor, most probably the micrometer unit interval. If, for example, the micrometer unit used was 0.049 mm . and the half unit estimated as either 0.02 or 0.03 such a periodicity could be expected. A detailed analysis of Sohn's figures suggests that five growth stages were represented in the material and that their dimensions are approximately as given in the table above.
18. Pseudobythocypris pediformis Knight. Pennsylvanian, marine. Exline Shale, Peoria County, Illinois. Data from Shaver 1958. $K_{L}=0.091, K_{H}=0.078, r=0.148, p=0.013$.

TABLE 19

| Growth stage | Measured means ( mm. .) |  | Calculated means (mm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 155$ | $0 \cdot 103$ | $0 \cdot 152$ | $0 \cdot 102$ |
| 3 | $0 \cdot 193$ | $0 \cdot 123$ | $0 \cdot 188$ | $0 \cdot 122$ |
| 4 | 0.230 | $0 \cdot 138$ | 0.231 | $0 \cdot 146$ |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 286$ | $0 \cdot 167$ | $0 \cdot 285$ | $0 \cdot 175$ |
| 6 | $0 \cdot 358$ | $0 \cdot 208$ | $0 \cdot 352$ | $0 \cdot 209$ |
| 7 | 0.440 | $0 \cdot 250$ | $0 \cdot 434$ | $0 \cdot 250$ |
| 8 | 0.542 | $0 \cdot 300$ | 0.535 | $0 \cdot 299$ |
| *9 | 0.656 | $0 \cdot 354$ | 0.659 | $0 \cdot 359$ |

* Includes both males and females

Correspondence between measured and calculated values is very close, with a maximum difference of only 0.008 mm .
19. Cavellina cavellinoides (Bradfield). Marine, Pennsylvanian, Lonsdale Zone, Vermillion County, Illinois. Data from Shaver 1951, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.078$.
table 20

|  | Measured means <br> (mm.) | Calculated means <br> ( (nm.) $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stage | Length | Length |
| 5 | 0.254 | 0.246 |
| 6 | 0.297 | 0.294 |
| 7 | 0.352 | 0.352 |
| 8 | 0.422 | 0.421 |
| 9 | 0.500 | 0.504 |
| 10 | 0.592 | 0.603 |
| 11 | 0.720 | 0.721 |
| 12 | 0.892 | 0.863 |
| 13 | 1.038 | 1.033 |

The greatest discrepancy between measured and calculated values ( 0.029 mm .) is in growth stage 12 .
20. Cavellina jejuna Coryell and Sample. Marine, Pennsylvanian, Exline Shale, Peoria County, Illinois. Data from Shaver 1951, lengths only. $K_{L}=0.0815$.

TABLE 21

| Growth stage | Measured means (mm.) | Calculated means (mm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Length |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 267$ | $0 \cdot 256$ |
| 6 | $0 \cdot 314$ | $0 \cdot 308$ |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 375$ | $0 \cdot 372$ |
| 8 | 0.443 | 0.449 |
| 9 | 0.548 | 0.541 |
| 10 | $0 \cdot 646$ | 0.653 |
| 11 | 0.779 | 0.788 |
| 12 | 0.953 | 0.951 |
| 13 | 1.098 | 1-147 |

Correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is good except for the ultimate stage. This species and C. cavellinoides have more than the average number of growth stages.
21. Ectodemites plummeri Cooper. Marine, Pennsylvanian, lower Marble Falls formation, Texas. Data from Cooper 1945. $K_{L}=0.079, K_{H}=0.079, r=0.185, p=0$.

TABLE 22

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (mm.) |  | Calculated means <br> (min.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 3 | 0.169 | 0.113 | 0.173 | 0.113 |
| 4 | 0.206 | 0.138 | 0.207 | 0.135 |
| 5 | 0.253 | 0.163 | 0.248 | 0.162 |
| 6 | 0.300 | 0.193 | 0.298 | 0.195 |
| 7 | 0.369 | 0.244 | 0.357 | 0.233 |
| 8 | 0.447 | 0.281 | 0.429 | 0.280 |
| 9 | 0.531 | 0.338 | 0.514 | 0.336 |
| 10 | 0.619 | 0.400 | 0.617 | 0.403 |
| 11 | 0.725 | 0.466 | 0.740 | 0.481 |

Correspondence between measured and calculated dimensions is close, the maximum difference being 0.018 mm . in growth stage 8 . This is one of those rare cases where $p=0$, i.e. where the growth rate in length and height is the same, so that the adult is proportionately higher than the juvenile.

Cooper used a method of calculation based on Huxley's (1932) differential growth formula $y=b x^{k}$, and found that $H=0.765 L^{0.969}$. This, however, assumes a uniform growth rate ratio, which is not the case in most other species.
22. Ctenoloculina cicatricosa (Warthin). Middle Devonian, marine, Norway Point formation, Traverse Group, Michigan. Data from Kesling 1951. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 105, K_{H}=$ $0 \cdot 100, r=0.233, p=0.005$.

TABLE 23

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (min.) |  | Calculated means <br> (mm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 5 | 0.338 | 0.187 | 0.335 | 0.185 |
| 6 | 0.441 | 0.235 | 0.427 | 0.233 |
| 7 | 0.541 | 0.288 | 0.543 | 0.293 |
| 8 | 0.686 | 0.369 | 0.692 | 0.369 |
| 9 | 0.874 | 0.474 | 0.881 | 0.474 |

Correspondence between measured and calculated dimensions is good with a maximum difference of 0.014 mm . If in fact growth stage 9 is the ultimate one it is apparent that the size attained by the adult is not directly related to the factor $K_{L}$. It may be that when $K$ is large the number of moults is fewer, and when $K$ is small more moults are needed to reach maturity. Thus it is possible that neither growth rate, number of instars, nor adult size are constant for the species, but that within certain limits all may vary according to ecological conditions.
23. Beyrichia (Mitrobeyrichia) clavata Kolmodin $=$ Beyrichia jonesi Boll. Marine, Silurian, Mülde Marl (Wenlock), Gotland.
(a) Data from Spjeldnaes 1951, lengths only. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 108$.

TABLE 24

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (mm.) | Calculated means <br> (mm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.214 | Length |
| 4 | 0.269 | 0.211 |
| 5 | 0.343 | 0.270 |
| 6 | 0.443 | 0.347 |
| 7 | 0.571 | 0.445 |
| 8 | 0.728 | 0.570 |
| 9 | 0.927 | 0.731 |
| 10 | 1.185 | 0.938 |
| 11 | 1.515 | 1.200 |
| 12 | 1.914 |  |

The last two lengths, though recorded as separate instars, are more probably sexual dimorphs. If a further growth stage were present it could be expected to have a length of 2.540 mm . Correspondence between measured and calculated lengths is reasonably good for all growth stages except the last.
(b) Data from Martinsson 1957. $K_{L \text { hinge }}=0 \cdot 094, K_{L \text { anterior }}=0 \cdot 100$.

TABLE 25

| Growth stage | Measured means (mm.) |  | Calculated means (nimu.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hinge <br> length | Anterior length | Hinge length | Anterior length |
| n | $0 \cdot 360$ | $0 \cdot 250$ | 0.359 | $0 \cdot 250$ |
| $\mathrm{n}+1$ | $0 \cdot 440$ | $0 \cdot 305$ | $0 \cdot 446$ | $0 \cdot 315$ |
| $\mathrm{n}+2$ | $0 \cdot 540$ | $0 \cdot 380$ | $0 \cdot 553$ | $0 \cdot 396$ |
| $\mathrm{n}+3$ | 0.670 | $0 \cdot 480$ | 0.687 | 0.499 |
| $\mathrm{n}+4$ | $0 \cdot 830$ | $0 \cdot 620$ | 0.853 | 0.628 |
| $\mathrm{n}+5$ | $1 \cdot 060$ | $0 \cdot 790$ | $1 \cdot 060$ | 0.791 |
| $\mathrm{n}+6$ | $1 \cdot 320$ | $0 \cdot 990$ | $1 \cdot 320$ | 0.996 |
| $\mathrm{n}+7$ | $1 \cdot 630$ | $1 \cdot 240$ | $1 \cdot 630$ | 1.254 |
| $\mathrm{n}+8$ | 1.950 O | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 375 \text { t } \\ & 1.240 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.030 | $1 \cdot 578$ |

The correspondence between measured and calculated dimensions is so close in all but the last instar that this remarkable departure from the normal growth rate at the maturation moult is difficult to explain, unless virtually all the growth in length has taken place in the posterior half of the carapace (see T. fittoni, where the posterior growth rate is greater than the anterior).
24. Primitopsis planifrons Jones. Marine, Silurian, Mülde Marl (Wenlock), Gotland. Data from Martinsson 1956, means taken from the graph, not from the table. $K_{L}=0 \cdot 100$, $K_{H}=0 \cdot 100, r=0 \cdot 140, p=0$.

TABLE 26

| Growth stage | Measured means ( nmm. ) |  | Calculated means (nlm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length | Height | Length | Height |
| 6 | $0 \cdot 390$ | $0 \cdot 290$ | $0 \cdot 398$ | $0 \cdot 289$ |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 520$ | $0 \cdot 370$ | $0 \cdot 501$ | $0 \cdot 363$ |
| 8 | 0.635 | $0 \cdot 465$ | $0 \cdot 631$ | $0 \cdot 457$ |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 805$ | 0.590 | $0 \cdot 794$ | 0.576 |
| 10 | 0.995 | 0.730 | $1 \cdot 000$ | $0 \cdot 725$ |
| 11 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \cdot 200 \\ 1 \cdot 300\end{array}\right.$ | $0 \cdot 865$ | $1 \cdot 291$ | 0.912 |

Like Ectodemites plummeri, P. planifrons is unusual in that the length/height ratio does not change during development. Again, as has been noted in many cases, the mature individual is smaller than would have been expected if the growth rate were uniform throughout. Possibly at the maturation moult a part of the growth potential is diverted to the development of the reproductive organs.
25. Eoleperditia fabulites (Conrad). Marine, Middle Ordovician, northern Illinois. Data from Scott 1951. $K_{L}=0.750, K_{I I}=0.701, r=1.303, p=0.049$.
table 27

| Growth <br> stage | Measured means <br> (inm.) |  | Calculated means <br> (mm.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.325 | 0.880 | 1.410 | 1.023 |
|  | - | - | 1.680 | 1.203 |
| 4 | - | - | 2.000 | 1.413 |
| 5 | 2.300 | 1.900 | 2.370 | 1.660 |
| 6 | - | - | 2.820 | 1.951 |
| 7 | 3.175 | 2.150 | 3.350 | 2.290 |
| 8 | - | - | 3.980 | 2.695 |
| 9 | 4.795 | 3.145 | 4.730 | 3.170 |
| 10 | - | - | 5.620 | 3.722 |
| 11 | 6.638 | 4.247 | 6.680 | 4.373 |
| 12 | 8.039 | 5.116 | 7.940 | 4.151 |
| 13 | 9.773 | 6.063 | 9.440 | 6.054 |
| 14 | 11.435 | 7.263 | 11.200 | 7.114 |

Unless this species differs from all other recorded species in its method of growth, then it is apparent that the dimensions of five growth stages have not been recorded. One of the useful applications of the method of analysing growth in ostracods described above is the ease with which missing growth stages can be detected and their approximate dimensions determined.

TABLE 28. Range of growth increments in Ostracods

| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Age | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. fasciata | $0 \cdot 130$ | $2 \cdot 000$ | $2 \cdot 000$ | 10 | Recent | 200 | $1 \cdot 349$ |
| C. holmani | 0.119 | $0 \cdot 895$ | $0 \cdot 895$ | 8 | Tertiary | 112 | $1 \cdot 315$ |
| B. clavata | $0 \cdot 108$ | $2 \cdot 043$ | 1.980 | 12 | Silurian | 165 | $1 \cdot 282$ |
| C. simensis | $0 \cdot 106$ | $1 \cdot 180$ | 1-150 | 10 | Tertiary | 115 | $1 \cdot 276$ |
| C. cicatricosa | $0 \cdot 105$ | 0.874 | 0.881 | 9 | Devonian | 98 | 1.274 |
| B. serrata | $0 \cdot 102$ | $0 \cdot 660$ | 0.655 | 8 | Recent | 82 | 1-265 |
| T. fittoni (O) | 0. 100 | $1 \cdot 080$ | $1 \cdot 000$ | 10 | Cretaceous | 100 | 1.259 |
| P. planifrons | 0.100 | I•300 | 1.291 | 11 | Silurian | 117 | 1.259 |
| H. incongruens | $0 \cdot 100$ | $1 \cdot 600$ | 1.590 | 12 | Recent | 133 | $1 \cdot 259$ |
| T. fittoni (W, S, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ) | 0.099 | 1.060 | 0.977 | 10 | Cretaceous | 98 | 1.256 |
| T. fittoni ( $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ ) | 0.096 | 0.940 | $0 \cdot 912$ | 10 | Cretaceous | 91 | 1.247 |
| C. lutea | 0.096 | 0.578 | 0.586 | 8 | Recent | 73 | $1 \cdot 247$ |
| H. nigrescens | 0.095 | $0 \cdot 361$ | $0 \cdot 372$ | 6 | Recent | 62 | $1 \cdot 245$ |
| N. leioderma | 0.092 | 1.030 | 1.028 | 11 | Recent | 93 | 1.236 |
| $P$. pediformis | 0.091 | 0.656 | 0.659 | 9 | Carboniferous | 73 | 1.233 |
| M. succinae | 0.090 | 0.920 | 0.977 | 11 | Recent | 89 | $1 \cdot 230$ |
| C. vidua | 0.089 | 0.617 | 0.639 | 9 | Recent | 78 | 1.229 |
| $P$. jonesi | 0.088 | 0.938 | 0.933 | 11 | Recent | 85 | 1.225 |
| L. impressa | 0.083 | 0.463 | 0.457 | 8 | Recent | 57 | 1-209 |
| C. jejuna | 0.082 | 1.098 | $1 \cdot 147$ | 13 | Carboniferous | 88 | $1 \cdot 206$ |
| C. whitei | 0.080 | 0.974 | 0.912 | 12 | Tertiary | 76 | 1.202 |
| E. phommeri | 0.079 | 0.725 | 0.740 | 11 | Carboniferous | 67 | $1 \cdot 200$ |
| C. bullata | 0.078 | 0.867 | 0.863 | 12 | Cretaceous | 72 | $1 \cdot 197$ |
| C. cavellinoides | 0.078 | 1.038 | 1.033 | 13 | Carboniferous | 79 | 1-197 |
| H. viridis | 0.078 | 0.434 | 0.421 | 8 | Recent | 53 | $1 \cdot 197$ |
| E. fabnlites | 0.750 | 11.44 | 11.20 | 14 | Ordovician | 80 | 1-189 |
| L. impressa | 0.075 | $0 \cdot 400$ | $0 \cdot 398$ | 8 | Recent | 50 | $1 \cdot 189$ |
| C. ovnm | $0 \cdot 070$ | $0 \cdot 600$ | $0 \cdot 589$ | 11 | Recent | 54 | 1/175 |

Column 1, growth factor for length, $K_{L}$.
,, 2, mean of measured lengths, adult.
, 3 , calculated length of adult.
," 4, number of theoretical growth stages.
," 5, adult length divided by number of growth stages.
,, 6 , incremental factor for growth in length.
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